



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22314-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/607,237	06/26/2003	Thaddeus M. Jones	ETI0069.US	4775
7590 02/24/2004				
EXAMINER				
BOLES, DEREK				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3749				
DATE MAILED: 02/24/2004				

Todd T. Taylor  
TAYLOR & AUST, P.C.  
142 S. Main St.  
P.O. Box 560  
Avilla, IN 46710

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/607,237

Applicant(s)

JONES, THADDEUS M.

Examiner

Derek S. Boles

Art Unit

3749

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 June 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 26 June 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 8, 9, 11, 12, 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Johnsen (5,900,178). See col. 3, line 50 to col. 4, line 19, abstract and fig. 1.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim(s) 5, 6, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnsen in view of Sogo et al. (6,428,671). Johnsen discloses all of the limitations of the claim(s) except for measuring current variations and creating a pattern to convey status information. Sogo et al. discloses the presence of a measuring current variations and creating a pattern to convey status information. See col. 28, lines 55-67 and col. 9, lines 16-43, respectively. Hence, one skilled in the art would find it obvious to modify the system of Johnsen to include the measurement of current variations and creating a pattern to convey status information of Sogo et al. for the purpose of providing a more precise controller.

Art Unit: 3749

Claims 17, 19, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnsen in view of official notice, In re Ahlert, 424 F.2d 1088, 165 USPQ 418, 420 (CCPA 1970). Johnsen discloses all of the limitations of the claims except for the controller detecting the startup of the heater, including the substep of pulsing electrical power the electrical power conductor in an on and off pattern depending on the information and detecting the pattern in a conductor by an ampere meter. One skilled in the art would find it obvious to modify the heating system of Johnsen to include the controller detecting the startup of the heater, including the substep of pulsing electrical power the electrical power conductor in an on and off pattern depending on the information and detecting the pattern in a conductor by an ampere meter.

Regarding claims 7, 9 and 23, Johnsen discloses all of the limitations of the claims except for the predetermined time being thirty seconds and the predetermined temperature being approx. 38° F. However, since the applicant has failed to establish any criticality or synergistic results which are derived from the recited configurations, these limitations are considered a matter of obvious design choice. Thus, the applicant's design configurations would have been an obvious improvement to one of ordinary skill in the art with regard to the apparatus disclosed in Johnsen.

Regarding claim 15, Johnsen in view of Sogo et al. discloses all of the limitations of the claim except for the pattern lasting less than thirty seconds. However, since the applicant has failed to establish any criticality or synergistic results which are derived from the recited configurations, these limitations are considered a matter of obvious design choice. Thus, the applicant's design configurations would have been an obvious improvement to one of ordinary skill in the art with regard to the apparatus disclosed in Johnsen in view of Sogo et al.


Art Unit: 3749

Claim(s) 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnsen in view of official notice and in further view of Yamawaki et al. (3,909,601). Johnsen in view of official notice discloses all of the limitations of the claim(s) except for varying at least one of time duration and frequency of the pattern. Yamawaki et al. discloses the presence of varying at least one of time duration and frequency of the pattern. See Abstract. Hence, one skilled in the art would find it obvious to modify the system of Johnsen in view of official notice to include a varying at least one of time duration and frequency of the pattern of Yamawaki et al. for the purpose of providing a more precise controller.

### *Conclusion*

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The provided references are representative of the state of the art that is applicable to the applicant's invention. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Derek S. Boles at (703) 308-1804 or fax number (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861. The Supervisory Primary Examiner for Art Unit 3749 is Ira Lazarus who can be reached at (703) 308-1935.

D.S.B.



**DEREK S. BOLES**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**  
**GROUP 3700**

2/23/04